

POSITION STATEMENT:

Healthcare Facilities Should Adopt Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment Standards

(JULY 2020)

Susan Magasi, PhD, University of Illinois at Chicago; Laura Van Puymbrouck, PhD, OTR/L, Rush University; Judy Panko Reis, MS, MA, Access Living, Karen Lukaszewski, OTD, OTR/L, HealthPRO/Heritage; Melissa A. Simon, MD, Institute for Public Health and Medicine (IPHAM) - Center for Health Equity Transformation; George H. Gardner, MD, Professor of Clinical Gynecology; Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology (General Obstetrics and Gynecology)/Preventive Medicine and Medical Social Sciences; Robin Jones, MPA, Director, Great Lakes ADA Center, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The Society for Behavioral Medicine (SBM) encourages the adoption of the accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment (MDE) Standards for all primary, diagnostic and specialty healthcare, including cancer care, settings to improve healthcare delivery to people with mobility disabilities.

BACKGROUND

More than 20 million Americans over the age of 18 years have a disability that limits their functional mobility. 1,2 With population health trends, including increasing rates of chronic medical conditions, obesity and the aging population, the number of people with disabilities will rise. 3,4 People with disabilities often have more compromised health and tend to be higher users of health care services than their non-disabled peers. According to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, health care providers must ensure "full and equal access to their health care services and facilities." Yet, nearly 30 years after its passage, the ADA has had limited impact on health care facilities and services, 5-13

- Limited services to those with mobility disabilities can:
- Compromise physical accessibility to medical diagnostic equipment
- Delay or lead to incomplete care
- Cause missed diagnoses
- Exacerbate the mobility disability
- Risk injury for staff and patients
- Compromise quality of care
- Negatively affect health-related quality of life
- Contribute to forgoing preventive and primary health care



POLICY ISSUE

In 2017, the U.S. Access Board, an independent agency of the United States government, finalized standards for accessible medical diagnostic equipment. The medical diagnostic equipment standards (MDE Standards) offer detailed descriptions for providers to follow, thus eliminating ambiguity. The Access Board suggested that when the MDE Standards are adopted, people with disabilities "will benefit from access to and use of MDE and finally be able to receive health care comparable to that received by their non-disabled counterparts." (2017) The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has the option to incorporate the MDE Standards into Title II and Title III regulations and ADA Accessibility Standards, while federal agencies may implement them under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. As of November 2019, the DOJ has not moved forward with incorporation nor has the current administration taken a specific regulatory enforcement position regarding this issue. To date, the only federal agency to take regulatory action is the Veterans Administration (VA) which initiated an acquisitions policy that requires all new equipment purchases across the VA Health system meet the standards for medical diagnostic equipment.



It is unlikely that without a clear regulatory mandate and enforcement strategy at the federal level, that medical facilities will fundamentally alter their purchasing practices to ensure that they acquire accessible medical equipment. Consumer awareness and advocacy around these issues has not yet risen to the level where it is garnering the attention at the federal level to move the enforcement agenda forward. The Department of Health and Human Services has not specifically added these MDE Standards to their rules and regulations nor has the DOJ added them to the ADA or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. As a result, the enforcement of the MDE Standards is lacking.

A formal approach for enforcing MDE standards is required to ensure:

- Equal access to healthcare services,
- Equal access to all medical equipment

RECOMMENDATIONS

To ensure that people with physical disabilities have full and equal access to health care services, SBM calls upon:

- The Department of Health and Human Services to add the MDE Standards to their regulatory portfolio and develop and implement an enforcement plan.
- The Department of Justice to develop and implement an enforcement plan for the MDE Standards based on their existing authority under Title II and Title III of the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

REFERENCES

- Brucker DL, Houtenville AJ. People with disabilities in the United States. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2015;96(5):771-774.
- 2 Statistics D. Disability Statistics: Online Resource for U.S. Disability Statistics. 2016. Accessed 9/10/2018, 2018.
- 3 lezzoni LI. Eliminating health and health care disparities among the growing population of people with disabilities. *Health Affairs*. 2011;30(10):1947-1954.
- 4 lezzoni LI, Kurtz SG, Rao SR. Trends in U.S. adult chronic disability rates over time. Disability and Health Journal. 2014;7(4):402-412.
- 5 Mudrick NR, Breslin ML, Liang M, Yee S. Physical accessibility in primary health care settings: results from California on-site reviews. Disability and Health Journal. 2012;5(3):159-167.
- 6 Lagu T, Hannon NS, Rothberg MB, et al. Access to subspecialty care for patients with mobility impairment: a survey. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2013;158(6):441-446.
- 7 Krahn GL, Walker DK, Correa-De-Araujo R. Persons with disabilities as an unrecognized health disparity population. American Journal of Public Health. 2015;105(S2):S198-S206.
- 8 McDoom MM, Koppelman E, Drainoni M-L. Barriers to accessible health care for Medicaid eligible people with disabilities: a comparative analysis. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*. 2014;25(3):154-163.
- 9 Mahmoudi E, Meade MA. Disparities in access to health care among adults with physical disabilities: analysis of a representative national sample for a ten-year period. *Disability* and Health Journal. 2015;8(2):182-190.
- 10 Chevarley FM, Thierry JM, Gill CJ, Ryerson AB, Nosek MA. Health, preventive health care, and health care access among women with disabilities in the 1994–1995 National Health Interview Survey, Supplement on Disability. Women's Health Issues. 2006;16(6):297-312.

- 11 lezzoni LI, Wint AJ, Smeltzer SC, Ecker JL.. Physical Accessibility of Routine Prenatal Care for Women with Mobility Disability. Journal of Women's Health. 2015;24(12):1006-1012.
- 12 National Council on Disability. The Current State of Health Care For People With Disabilities. National Council on Disability; 2009.
- 13 Ramjan L, Cotton A, Algoso M, Peters K. Barriers to breast and cervical cancer screening for women with physical disability: A review. Women & Health. 2016;56(2):141-156.
- 14 Stillman MD, Frost KL, Smalley C, Bertocci G, Williams S. Health care utilization and barriers experienced by individuals with spinal cord injury. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2014;95(6):1114-1126.
- 15 Panko Reis J, Breslin M, Iezzoni L, Kirschner K. It Takes More Than Ramps To Solve The Crisis In Healthcare For People With Disabilities. Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago. 2004. 2005.
- 16 Pharr JR, Bungum T. Health disparities experienced by people with disabilities in the United States: a Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System study. Global Journal of Health Science. 2012;4(6):99.
- 17 Story MF, Schwier E, Kailes JI. Perspectives of patients with disabilities on the accessibility of medical equipment: Examination tables, imaging equipment, medical chairs, and weight scales. Disability And Health Journal. 2009;2(4):169-179. e161.
- 18 Kirschner KL, Breslin ML, Iezzoni LI. Structural impairments that limit access to health care for patients with disabilities. JAMA. 2007;297(10):1121-1125.
- 19 Wong JL, Alschuler KN, Mroz TM, Hreha KP, Molton I. Identification of targets for improving access to care in persons with long term physical disabilities. Disability and Health Journal. 2019.
- 20 Griffin Basas C. Advocacy Fatigue: Self-Care, Protest, and Educational Equity. Windsor Yearbook of Access Justice. 2015;32:37.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. Co-endorsing organizations have no control over content.

ENDORSEMENTS







