Society *of* Behavioral Medicine
Better Health through Behavior Change

The Public Health Need for Patient-Reported Measures and Health Behaviors in Electronic Health Records: A Policy Statement of the Society of Behavioral Medicine
(Approved, November 23, 2010)

Widespread Use of EHRs is Welcome– but there is a Problem
The HITECH Act and the Patient Protection Affordable Care Act place new emphasis on the widespread and meaningful use of electronic health records (EHRs). While we welcome this emphasis and its likely attendant advances in patient care and clinical health research, there is a significant problem: ***Currently EHRs fail to capture data reflecting crucial social and behavioral determinants of health.***

EHRs Must Capture Behavioral and Social Data
EHRs now capture such measures as weight, blood pressure, and health history, and results from tests and procedures. These measures help healthcare providers make informed diagnoses and treatment decisions, which, in turn, can produce desirable health outcomes. That being said, studies show socioeconomic status, anxiety, depression, and such health habits as tobacco and alcohol use, diet, and physical activity, often play an equal or greater role in determining health outcomes. Cancer, heart disease, obesity, HIV, and diabetes are among the serious chronic diseases inextricably linked to behavior. ***EHRs represent a valuable opportunity to capture standardized, patient-reported behavioral and social data and outcomes from hundreds of millions of patient encounters.***

EHRs Represent the Best Opportunity for Capturing Behavioral Data
Decision makers at all levels – from health care providers to local, state, and federal health administrators – need sufficient data. But the health care quality data reported to the National Committee on Quality Assurance (NCQA) and recorded in the Healthcare Effectiveness and Information Set (HEDIS) include few data on personal characteristics, mental health, social environment, or behavioral issues—all of which have profound impact on healthcare and health outcomes. Further, the current HEDIS measures primarily emphasize process of care, but do not capture information on outcomes from the patient perspective. There is no standard way to compare performance of different healthcare organizations on behavioral or psychosocial issues, nor is there a way to reliably estimate future healthcare demands that depend on broader determinants of health.

Most important, three critical national efforts will be hindered without the inclusion of patient reported factors. The patient-centered medical home and patient-centered comparative outcomes research clearly cannot not achieve their full promise without the inclusion of the patient perspective and PROs. Unless changes are made, personalized or precision medicine will also be operating without the most important tailoring tool- patient preferences and perspective.

SBM Proposes a Standardized, Practical Toolkit of Measures for EHR Inclusion
We propose the development of a standardized, practical toolkit for measuring behavioral and psychosocial patient report variables to be routinely included and confidentially protected in the EHR. These measures should also be included as part of quality and pay for performance measures for preventive and chronic illness care such as HEDIS and the primary care medical home. Tools for the kit will be chosen because they are practical, actionable and feasible, and will build on important existing efforts (e.g. PROMIS, NQF, NCQA) that capture some, but not most of the proposed domains. Selection criteria include reliability, validity, sensitivity to change, feasibility, importance to clinicians and to public health, and user friendliness. Unlike 10 years ago, such practical measures do exist, but are not being widely used.

A harmonized set of such measures should include: 1) well-established health behavioral risk factors (smoking/tobacco use, physical activity, eating patterns, risky drinking, and medication taking); 2) socioeconomic determinants (education, age, zip code); 3) psychosocial problems (anxiety, distress, depression, stress; 4) patient reported outcomes (functional status, health related quality of life) ;and 5) patient goals and preferences for care and communication. These domains and examples of practical measures within each of these categories are available and listed in Table 1.

While opinions may differ on the specific measures to be included, such differences can be bridged through modern online techniques for achieving such data harmonization. With today's electronic tools, the increasing prevalence of patient portals (PHRs), automated telephone calls, waiting room data collection and cell phone technologies, PROs can indeed be routinely collected. Carefully selected PROs are feasible and are more valid, reliable, less expensive and time consuming, than are office measurements of blood pressure and weight- which no one would think of excluding from the EHR.

The Time is Now
The time is now to standardize practical behavioral and psychosocial measures to be included as HEDIS indices, and to have them built into confidential data capture for EHRs. Doing so should improve human health and healthcare, reduce suffering, and develop better information for addressing significant gaps in care and population health management. ***The consequences of failing to develop standardized patient report data elements are lost opportunities to enhance patient care and understanding of population health.***

Table 1- Recommended Domains and Example Measures*

Domain	Example Measure(s)
HEALTH BEHAVIORS	
Smoking/Tobacco Use	SRNT items; one Fagerstrom item for smokers
Physical Activity	BRFSS, IPAQ or pedometer readings
Eating Patterns	Starting the Conversation or NCI Fat and Fruit/Vegetable screeners
Risky Drinking	2 items from AUDIT or BRFSS
Medication Taking	Hill-Bone Adherence scale
Optional items	Customized to site priorities- e.g., salt intake, sleep patterns
PSYCHOSOCIAL AND PATIENT/ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS	
Depression/Anxiety	PHQ 2 or 4
Quality of Life	PROMIS questions
Stress/ Distress	Distress Scale or Distress Thermometer
Health Literacy/Numeracy	Chin and Fagerlin health literacy and numeracy items
Patient Goal(s)	Free text on specific measurable goal and goal attainment
Demographic characteristics	Race, ethnicity, zip code for GIS coding
Optional Characteristics	Customized to setting: patient priorities and preferences (e.g., preferred level of participation in medical decision making; mode of contact- e-mail vs. phone)

Issue Patient Most Wants to
Discuss During Next Contact: _____

_____
* Note: We propose these specific measures to make clear that validated, practical measures to effect these recommendations exist. Final choice of measures will be determined by future review and rapid consensus methods.

Key References

Fernald DH, Froshaug DB, Dickinson LM, Balasubramanian BA, Dodoo MS, Holtrop JS, Hung DY, Glasgow RE, Niebauer LJ, Green LA. Common measures, better outcomes (COMBO): a field test of brief health behavior measures in primary care. Am J Prev Med. 2008 Nov;35(5 Suppl):S414-22.

Fisher E, Fitzgibbon ML, Glasgow RE, Haire-Joshu D, Hayman LL, Kaplan RM, Nanney MS, Ockene JO. . Behavior matters. In Press , Am J Prev Med.

Glasgow RE, Peeples M, Skovlund SE. Where is the patient in diabetes performance measures? The case for including patient-centered and self-management measures. Diabetes Care. 2008 May;31(5):1046-50.

Mokdad AH, Marks JS, Stroup DF, Gerberding JL.
Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. JAMA. 2004 Mar 10;291(10):1238-45.

Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System. http://www.nihpromis.org/default.aspx Accessed 11/12/2010.

Wu AW, Snyder C, Clancy CM, Steinwachs DM. Adding the patient perspective to comparative effectiveness research. Health Affairs, 2010, 29: 1863-1871.

Approved, 11/23/10