Georgetown | Lombardi comprehensive cancer center

PATIENT CARE RESEARCH EDUCATION COMMUNITY

Processing of Breast Cancer Risk of Recurrence Results Following Genomic Tumor Testing

Suzanne C. O'Neill, Ph.D.



http://lombardi.georgetown.edu Lombardi CancerLine: 202.444.4000

Precision Medicine through Tumor Genomic Profiling

NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE PRECISION MEDICINE IN CANCER TREATMENT

Discovering unique therapies that treat an individual's cancer based on the specific genetic abnormalities of that person's tumor.







Genomic Testing for Risk for Recurrence

- Gene expression tumor analysis for early stage, ER+ breast cancers:
 - Estimate risk of recurrence
 - Aid prognosis
 - Predict treatment benefit
 - Chemotherapy
 - Extending hormonal therapy

Onco*type* DX[®]

NSABP B-14 Study

10-year rate of distant recurrence was significantly lower for patients with low Recurrence Score results compared to high results¹





genomichealth.com Georgetown | Lombardi

Onco*type* DX[®]

NSABP B-20 Study

Low Recurrence Score result predicted little to no benefit from chemotherapy²



NO SUBSTANTIAL CHEMOTHERAPY BENEFIT 1.0 91% PROPORTION WITHOUT DISTANT RECURRENCE ۲____ 0.8 89% 3.0 0.4 P-.39 EVENTS N 0.2 TAM + CHENO 89 9 - TAM 45 4 0.0 0 2 4 6 8 10 12

YEARS

EDIATE RECURRENCE SCORE RESULT (18-30)

NSABP B-20 Study

High Recurrence Score result predicted large benefit from chemotherapy²



HIGH RECURRENCE SCORE RESULT (≥31)

genomichealth.com Georgetown | Lombardi

Rates of testing by year (2006-2011)



O'Neill et al., 2015 Georgetown | Lombardi

Testing = Standard of Care

ASCO Guidelines

National

12th St.Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference

Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer with Treatment Consensus Update

16 - 19 March 2011 St. Gallen, Switzerland



Sample Report



Laboratory Director(s): S. Shak, MD; J. Anderson, MD; F. Baehner, MD & P. Joseph, MD

This test was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Genomic Health, Inc. The laboratory is regulated under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) as qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing. This test is used for clinical purposes. It should not be regarded as investigational or for research. These results are adjunctive to the ordering physician's workup.

© 2004-2015 Genomic Health, Inc. All rights reserved. Genomic Health, Oncotype DX, and Recurrence Score are trademarks of Genomic Health, Inc.

This test was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Genomic Health, Inc. The laboratory is regulated under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) as qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing. This test is used for clinical purposes. It should not be regarded as investigational or for research. These results are adjunctive to the ordering physician's workup. © 2004-2015 Genomic Health, Inc. All rights reserved. Genomic Health, Oncotype DX, and Recurrence Score are trademarks of Genomic Health, Inc.

GH004 Rev02

EGAPP Recommendations--2015



Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP)

- Research gaps:
 - "Further research (is needed) to clarify how women understand and use the risk information."

EGAPP, Genetics in Medicine, 2016

What we know to date:

- Most women accurately recall their perceived risk of recurrence
 - 71% of tested women recalled a perceived risk of recurrence within 4% of the Recurrence Score
 - Mean perceived risk for recurrence for women with low (9.6%), intermediate (18%) and high (25.8%) Recurrence Score reflected actual risk

Tzeng et al., 2010 Georgetown | Lombardi

Questions:

How do women perceive their risk of recurrence before and after the receipt of their Recurrence Score?

How many women over- or underestimate their risk?

What are the correlates of over- or underestimation?

Methods and Participants

Newly diagnosed breast cancer patients (N = 193)

- Stage I/II
- Pre-test/Post-test design
- High RS = 17
- Intermediate RS = 60
- Low RS = 116

Demographics

- Mean age = 57 (range = 37-83)
- 65% White, 27% African American, 5% Asian, 3% Other
- 60% college degree

"What do you think the chance is that your breast cancer will come back or spread to other parts of your body? Please choose a number from 0-100?"

Accuracy: Alignment of post-test perceived risk and their Oncotype category •Perceived risk = 0--underestimate

Correlates of over- and under-estimation:

- Recurrence Score
- Receipt of chemotherapy
- Age
- Race
- Education
- Cancer-related distress
- Perceived communication quality

Low Risk of Recurrence



Intermediate Risk of Recurrence



Mean Recurrence Score

High Risk of Recurrence



- Posttest Perceived Risk of Recurrence
- Mean Recurrence Score

Over- and under-estimation of risk



Correlates of over- and under-estimation

	Overestimated OR (95% CI)	Underestimated OR (95% CI)
Oncotype Score		
Low Score v. Intermediate	0.19 (0.03-1.39) +	0.08 (0.02-0.41)**
Low Score v. High	2.88 (0.71-11.69)	0.03 (0.01-0.09)***
Age	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	0.95 (0.90-0.98)*
White v. non-White	1.36 (0.57-3.25)	2.18 (0.69-6.85)
College v. non-College	0.31 (0.13-0.75)**	2.50 (0.82-7.63)
Chemotherapy v. None	0.52 (0.12-2.18)	0.65 (0.17-2.51)
Post-test distress	1.03 (0.99-1.07)	0.97 (0.92-1.02)
Communication quality	0.88 (0.80-0.97)**	1.09 (0.97-1.22)
+<.10; *<.05; **<.01; ***<.001		

Perceived risk: Women with high RS tumors

 Accurate integration of the risk-reduction afforded by chemotherapy

OR

 Defensive processing of high risk of recurrence

Over- and under-estimation

- Older women more likely to underestimate their risk for developing breast cancer (Jones et al., 2011)
- Lower levels of education is a common correlate of overestimation (Skinner et al., 1998; Leblond et al., 2012)
- Effective communication = greater accuracy
 - Family members (Himes et al., 2016)
 - Oncologists (Kelly et al., 2013)

- Perceived risk of recurrence does not uniformly reflect women's Recurrence Score results
- Future work will examine the impact of this for longer-term outcomes
 - Extend to 1 year post-dx
 - Adherence to treatment and surveillance
 - Quality of life

Acknowledgements

Chalanda Evans, BS Marc Schwartz, PhD Claudine Isaacs, MD Susan Vadaparampil, PhD Noel Brewer, PhD

ACS MRSG-10-110-01

Our participants

Oncologists, nurses and staff--LCCC, WHC, FSMC, MCC