

Call participants

Amy Janke, PhD – Chair
Barb Resnick, PhD
Kristin L. Schneider, PhD
Rebecca Bartlett Ellis, PhD (Guest, DHC liaison)
Leslie Hausmann, PhD
Jeffrey P. Haibach, PhD, MPH
Sandra Winter, PhD

Staff

Kimberly Granada

Regrets

Colleen McBride, PhD Jennifer A. Sumner, PhD Julie E. Volkman, PhD Sandra Winter, PhD Tammy Schuler

Minutes Approval and Announcements

- Approved the January Meeting Minutes: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1vWedwJhfJYZRTt7EnUkQIVFpU6HZAZKJjZZBHYcDlzM/edit
- Introduction of Tammy Schuler [tabled to next meeting]
 - Help with collaborations in the cancer area ie Cancer SIG
- In person meeting at the Annual Meeting: Thursday, April 2nd at 12:45-1:45. Please mark your calendars to attend if possible!
 - Kimberly will send out calendar invite
- Google drive file organization
 - Sharing relevant material with the council via google drives. If you would like to house shareable materials such as partnering agreements, mou's, or anything you'd like to share, you can do so in the google drive.
 - Kimberly will give you access. Email her at <u>kgranada@sbm.org</u>

Pilot Test of Classifying our Partnerships & Evaluation Rubric

[email from 1/29/20 describing task pasted below]

Step one: Classify your liaison according to this outline.

<u>Step two:</u> Evaluate your liaison according to <u>this rubric</u>. You may also find the <u>SPLC Guidance</u> document helpful for this step, although it is still in draft form.



<u>Step three:</u> Take notes about what worked, what didn't work, and bring all this to our next phone meeting in February [or, forward your notes if you aren't able to make the call].

I have pasted the list of our current liaison below, along with your assigned liaison for evaluation. In some cases, these are partnerships you already manage. In other cases, I have assigned liaisons based on expertise either because we haven't had a liaison leader for some time or the liaison was among those passed over to us by the executive committee in the fall for evaluation. The <u>liaison tracking document</u> has more details (esp in notes section) for some of these liaisons. If you are unsure how to approach your assigned partnerships, just do the best you can—this is just a pilot. I'm happy to help, and we will spend our next call discussing our findings and experience.

Liaisons to evaluate. Note the first 10 were assigned by the executive committee for review in fall 2019. The remaining liaisons already were historically managed by the SPLC, although not all of them had a leader on the SPLC.

Tammy Schuler	National Council on Skin Cancer Prevention
Amy Janke/Health Policy Council	Consortium of Social Science Associations
Tammy Schuler	SUNucate Coalition
Tammy Schuler	Coalition for the Advancement and Application of Psychological Science
SPLC to discuss	OBSSR's Behavioral and Social Science Research Coordinating Committee
SPLC to discuss	Behavioral Medicine Research Council
SPLC to discuss	Coalition of Behavioral Science Organizations
SPLC to discuss	Roundtable on Obesity Solutions



ISBM
Personal Connected Health Alliance
Health Enhancement Research Organization (HERO)
American College of Lifestyle Medicine (ACLM)
American Heart Association
The Obesity Society
American Psychological Association
American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery
American Academy of Family Practitioners
American Diabetes Association
Prevent Cancer
AMIA
American College of Sports Medicine
Society for Health Communication
Academy of Communication in Healthcare/DocCom
VSOs (DAV, VFW, Team RWB)
Department of VA Affairs
Society for Health Psychology, DIV 38



Barb Resnick

Gerontological Society of America

- Difficulties entering new partnerships
 - When selecting the correct level- does level 2 encorporate level 1?
 - Sort by time frame
 - Have the levels be fluid- reevaluate which level the partnership best fits
 - Three year review cycle
 - The levels are helpful guidelines
 - Level 2 seems like something everyone would be doing- it seems like the levels weren't too different.
 - Level 2 v Level 3- Some partnerships aren't educational, but we collaborate on different things such as guiding principles.
 - A distinction between level 2 and 3 could be the amount of work that both parties are contributing
 - The 1-5 rating scale: instead of a 1-5 rating, change it to yes/no
 - "Feasibility of Resources": Change from a yes/no to a more detailed answer
 - The 1-5 rating scale: instead of a 1-5 rating, change it to yes/no
- Focus on the work that has been happening
- Look at the partnerships and build a guideline from the partnerships we already have or take the levels that we have and apply those to the partnerships and then modify.
- The guidelines are helpful for new projects, but it's more difficult to categorize current partnerships.
- Historically, SPLC has not been the liaison for some of these partnerships. The executive committee was in charge of some of these partnerships.



Other updates??

- HERO partnership document
- Society for Health Communication update

Meeting Adjourned: 3:01